

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 145fb; Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/548,734	04/13/2000	Hugo J. Strubbe	US000103	6918	
24737	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ENG, GEORGE		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BRIARCEII	i wantok, iti 10310		2643	16	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/548,734	STRUBBE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	George Eng	2643			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	rith the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	VIC OUT TO EVOIDE A A	AONTH(S) EDOM			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failthe Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16 and 18-25</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16 and 18-25</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		7			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 H.C.C.	S 110(a) (d) as (5)			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		3 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in <i>i</i>	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage			
* /	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
" (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
ı-ape	or recognition bate	رة	_			

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/13/2004 (paper no. 15) has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 2/23/2004 (paper no. 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2643

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-6, 7-16, and 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pingali (US PAT. 6,005,610) in view of Potts et al. (US PAT. 6,593,956 hereinafter Potts).

Regarding claim 1, Pingali discloses a method for tracking an object of interest, i.e., an active speaker, in a video processing system (abstract and col. 3 lines 13-32) comprising the steps of generating for particular ones of successive plural measurement intervals an audio locator output from an audio input, i.e., a microphone, derived from detecting sound from an object and a video locator output form a video input, i.e., preset locations associated with manual keypad, derived partly from a camera detecting movement of the object, each indicative of a location of the object of interest (col. 4 line 17 through col. 7 line 35), applying a set of rules to each of the audio locator output and the video locator output to adjust a setting of the camera during each one of the successive plural measurement intervals, and adjusting the camera in accordance with the applied set of rules (col. 7 line 36 through col. 9 line 53). Pingali differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching to apply the set of rules to each of the audio locator output and video locator output to determine which one of the audio locator output and the video locator output will be utilized to adjust a setting of the camera so that the camera is adjusted by utilizing only the selected one of the audio locator output and the video locator output in accordance with the applied set of rules. However, Potts teaches a method for locating an audio source in a video conferencing system comprising a audio based locator (70, figure 3) and a video based locator (60, figure 30), wherein a speaker validation and framing module

Art Unit: 2643

utilizing only a selected one of the audio based locator output and the video based locator output in accordance with a set of rules in order to improve the overall performance of the automatic camera pointing system by decreasing the response time and preventing gross pointing errors (col. 22 line 16 through col. 26 line 41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Pingali in applying the set of rules to each of the audio locator output and video locator output to determine which one of the audio locator output and the video locator output will be utilized to adjust a setting of the camera so that the camera is adjusted by utilizing only the selected one of the audio locator output and the video locator output in accordance with the applied set of rules, as per teaching of Potts, in order to improve the overall performance of the automatic camera pointing system by decreasing the response time and preventing gross pointing errors.

Regarding claim 2, Pingali discloses the object of interest comprising an active speaker, i.e., a moving person (col. 1 lines 6-10 and col. 2 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 3, Pingali discloses the camera being a pan-tilt-zoom camera having adjustable pan, tilt, and zoom settings (col. 8 lines 14-19).

Regarding claims 4-5, Pingali teaches an integrated localizer to determine whether the visual object localizer and the audio source localizer are sufficiently close for a given measurement interval (col.8 line 65 through col. 9 line 5) and to utilize the audio source localizer output to adjust a camera when the visual object localizer output and the audio source localizer outputs are not within a specified range of one another for the given measurement interval, and to utilize the video locator output to adjust the camera setting if the audio and video locator

Art Unit: 2643

outputs are within a specified range of one another for the given measurement interval (col. 9 lines 6-53).

Regarding claim 7, Potts teaches the set of rules including determining based on the audio locator output if the object of interest corresponds to a new speaker in a multiple participants system and directing the camera to zoom out by a predetermined amount and to turn in a direction of the new speaker when a new speaker is detected (col. 24 lines 10-14).

Regarding claims 8-9, Potts discloses to determine whether the object of interest corresponds to a same speaker in a multiple-participant system based on the audio locator output and utilizing the video locator output to adjust camera setting so as place the same speaker at a designated position within one or more video frames generated by the camera and adjusting a zoom setting of the camera until a head of the identified same speaker occupies a designated portion of a given one of one or more video frames generated by the camera (col. 22 line 46 through col. 23 line 23).

Regarding claims 10-11, Potts teaches to zoom out the camera by an additional amount if detected period of continued silence exceeds a second amount of time greater than the first amount of time (col. 24 lines 10-17).

Regarding claim 12, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 13, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 14, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/548,734 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 15-16, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 4-5.

Regarding claim 18, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 7.

Regarding claims 19-20, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 8-9.

Regarding claims 21-22, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 10-11.

Regarding claim 23, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 24, Potts teaches to determine whether a confidence indicator associated with the video locator output is above a specified video locator threshold for the given measurement, and to utilize the video locator output to adjust the camera setting only if the confidence indicator associated with the video locator output is above a specified video locator threshold for the given measurement interval (col. 25 line 12 through col. 26 line 41).

Regarding claim 25, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 24.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-16 and 18-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Page 7

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is 703-308-9555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-6306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

George Eng Examiner

Art Unit 2643